

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:03-mj-666

v.

Hon. Ellen S. Carmody

JANET MAVIS MARCUSSE,

Defendant.

ORDER REJECTING PLEADING

The Court has examined the following proposed pleading received July 28, 2004, and orders the Clerk to reject the Writ of Mandamus and to return it to Unknown person authorized representative for Jan Marcusse for the reasons noted below:

Per oral order from the bench, only a practicing attorney representing defendant or Janet Marcusse herself, may file documents with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 3, 2004
gjf

Ellen S. Carmody
Ellen S. Carmody
U. S. Magistrate Judge

THE CLERK SHALL ACCEPT THE PLEADING(S) FOR FILING

INITIALS

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES et. al

Case # 1:03-MJ-666

v.

July 28, 2004

Janet Mavis Marcusse Sui Juris

Writ of Mandamus.

**Ellen S. Carmody to produce publicly recorded and witnessed
Oath's of Office, surety bonds, and financial records:**

Janet Mavis Marcusse is to be set free from the admiralty Court proceedings:

Ray Kent and all future court appointed attorneys are to be fired:

Is this not Janet Mavis Marcusse Political rights?:

A writ of Mandamus is hereby ordered that Ellen S. Carmody is to produce the following documents and all demands listed below.

1. Janet Mavis Marcusse demands Ellen S. Carmody to produce her Surety bonded constitutionally prescribed oath of office or affirmation to the united states of America.
2. Janet Mavis Marcusse demands Ellen S. Carmody to produce her Surety bonded constitutionally prescribed oath of office or affirmation to the law of the land.
3. Janet Mavis Marcusse demands Ellen S. Carmody to produce all financial records of Ellen S. Carmody to Janet Mavis Marcusse so there can be a determination if there is no diminishment of compensation to qualify Ellen S. Carmody to set as judge in this case.

4. Janet Mavis Marcusse demands the Court appointed privy B.A.R. Attorney Ray Kent to be terminated and no attorney(s) will be appointed by this admiralty Court to represent this Sovereign Citizen of the united states of America in this case any shape matter or form.
5. Is this not Janet Mavis Marcusse pretrial detention hearing a political question?
6. Janet Mavis Marcusse demands Ellen S. Carmody to Produce Surety bonded, constitutionally prescribed oath of office(s) or affirmation(s) to the united states of America, from all U.S. Attorney's that are attacking Janet Mavis Marcusse sovereignty Political rights in this instant case.

I declare being the Age of Majority, a Citizen of the United States of America, and under the penalties of perjury, pursuant to the Laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true, correct, complete, and not misleading.

By _____ authorized representative for _____.

**American; Christian;
Private, Sentient, Civilian, Sovereign Citizen:
United States of America, a Republic;**

**“... at the mouths of two, or at the mouths of three the matter is established.”
Deuteronomy 19:15**

Divine and Common Law Witness

Divine and Common Law Witness